Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0016-PR

KS STATEBANK v HUNTER

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 23-Jan-2024 Archive on: 19-Aug-2034 (planned)

Case Closed: 19-Aug-2024

Dept/Composition

Side 1. KS STATEBANK, INC., Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Appellee

(Litigant Group) KS STATEBANK, INC.

Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Appellee

Cameron C Artigue, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 11376) Jacqueline Marzocca, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 37140)

Side 2. DENNIS SABAN, Defendant

Side 3. HUNTER FINANCIAL, INC., Plaintiff/Appellee/Cross-Appellant

(Litigant Group) HUNTER FINANCIAL, INC.

Hunter Financial Inc

KS Statebank Inc

Attorneys for: Plaintiff/Appellee/Cross-Appellant

Brian Holohan, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 9124) Kelley M Jancaitis, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 25555)

CASE STATUS

Aug 19, 2024....Case Closed

Aug 19, 2024....Decision Rendered

PREDEC	ESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-CV 22-0523					
₩ MAR	CV2018-014804			Comments: (none)		
MAR	CV2020-011753	Breach of Contract		M Scott McCoy, Authoring Judge of Order		
₩ MAR	CV2020-015272			Comments: (none) Comments: (none)		

CASE DECISION

19-Aug-2024 ORDER

ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

Filed: 19-Aug-2024 Mandate:

Decision Disposition

Denied

11 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1. 23-Jan-2024 FILED: Motion for Extension for Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Appellee KS Statebank)

24-Jan-2024 On January 23, 2024, Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Appellee KS Statebank filed a "Motion for Extension for Petition for Review." Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Appellee KS Statebank's ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by February 15, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)

- 3. 25-Jan-2024 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order; Certificate of Service (Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Appellee KS Statebank)
- 4. 25-Jan-2024 Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Appellee KS Statebank having filed a "Motion for Procedural Order" on January 25, 2024,

IT IS ORDERED granting a first extension of time to file the petition for review on or before March 1, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by March 1, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)

Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0016-PR **KS STATEBANK v HUNTER** 11 PROCEEDING ENTRIES 1-Mar-2024 FILED: Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Appellee KS Statebank) 1-Mar-2024 FILED: Appendix; Certificate of Service (Defendant/Appellant/Cross-Appellee KS Statebank) RECEIPT No.: 2024-00055; \$280.00, Authorization: 8739124906661920, Applied to: KS STATEBANK, INC. - Class A Filing Fee 12-Mar-2024 (\$280.00) Paid for: KS STATEBANK, INC. - By nCourt LLC 20-Mar-2024 FILED: Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Plaintiff/Appellee/Cross-Appellant Hunter Financial) RECEIPT No.: 2024-00067; \$140.00, Authorization: 8387414911226164, Applied to: HUNTER FINANCIAL, INC. - Class B Filing 26-Mar-2024 Fee (\$140.00) Paid for: HUNTER FINANCIAL, INC. - By nCourt LLC 10. 19-Apr-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record 11. ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED. 19-Aug-2024

(Plaintiff/Appellee/Cross-Appellant Hunter Financial) = DENIED without prejudice to seeking fees in the trial court should Hunter

FURTHER ORDERED: Request for Attorneys' Fees

prevail there.